G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12 1d

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D/-02

(Mezzai)

File No. 73

Serial Nos. /- 3

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Directs That only Brahamins are allowed to recite "Rajasirvadam" in the Temples, Though non-brahamins are Archakas of the Temples.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

M. No. 628 U

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 695 & 75
dated 19th & 23rd Oct 1896 received at the
Dewan's Office from Venkama Bhatta,
Shambhoga Narayanappa
& others of Bannahalli
in Chikkaballapur Taluk

are
~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr
Kolar District for
disposal

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary.

BANGALORE,
19/11/1896

The same no 4438 & 11-5297
1017

Gen. 31.]

1897 875

From The Deputy Commissioner
~~Deputy Commissioner~~
Kolar District

To Mysore
The Secretary to the Government
~~Durbar~~ of Mysore.

Dated 11th May 1897

Despatched 12th Do.

Received

General No. 4438
No. 1017

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reporting on the petition of Venkatarambhatta of Bommanahally Chee Kolar Dist.

Hd 16.5.97

Has same no 922 of 31.1.98

Dy Commrs Office
Kolar Dt
Dated 11th May 1897.

To

The Munzarai Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

Sir.

With reference to your official memorandum No. 628 dated 18th November 1896 I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government the Chickballapur Sub. Division officer's yadi No. 365 dated 14th April 1897 together with vernacular correspondence in re the petition of Venkam Bhatta & Shanbhogur Munyundiah and others of Bommanahalli in Chickballapur Taluq

2. It will be observed from the above that necessary arrangements were made for the performance of worship in the temple by the

Archik during his absence from the Village, and that the allegation of the petitioners that no puga was performed was false and due to the ill will existing between them and the Archik

3. The main question requiring decision in this case is, who shall recite "Ragasirvadu" in the temple The petitioners object to this being re-hearsed by the Archik who is a non brahmin "Satana". To enable me to decide the point in issue, I request that you will be so good as to favor me with the precedent now obtaining in other places of worship in the Province. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obt. servant

for Dy Commissioner

Jaffna

MUZRAI

189

From

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 3
Despatched 4th } February

Received

General No. 922
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His letter No. 4438/1017 dated 11th May 1897, reporting upon the petition of Venkam Bhatta and others of Bommanahalli, Chickballapur Taluk.

In returning herewith the vernacular records received with the above, has the honor to forward herewith for information a copy of the endorsement granted to the petitioners rejecting their petition.

2. With reference to

"Rajasirvadam" or benediction
to the Sovereign, the undersigned
is to observe that it is contrary
to the Agamas or ordinances
relating to temples that such
benediction should be pronounced
by a non-Brahmin. & it
should be offered only by a
Brahmin, ~~[illegible]~~ and
present Pujari of the Chenna-
Kesavaswamy temple at
Bommanahalli being a
non-Brahmin he should
be interdicted from reciting
the said Rajasirvadam in
the temple in future

By order

[illegible]
Huzur Secretary